



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	NVENTOR	Α	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/500.735	02/09/00	SHIPMAN		M	685-P-2-USA		
MMC1/1018 Drummond & Duckworth 4590 MacArthur Boulevard Suite 500 Newport Beach CA 92660			コ	EXAMINER			
			HOBDEN.D				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MEMPOLO DE	aun en gebot	,		2875	3		
				DATE MAILED:	10/18/00		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1.2									
	Application No.		Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	09/500,735		SHIPMAN, MICHAEL						
cine risasii sainiiary	Examiner	-	Art Unit	-					
	David V. Hobden		2875						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	/ IS SET TO EXP	IRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Status 	cation. 's, a reply within the store ' period will apply and	tatutory minimum of will expire SIX (6)	thirty (30) days will	ailing date of this					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>09 F</i>	ebruary 2000 .								
	s action is non-fir	nal.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2000</u> is/are objected to by the Examiner.									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:									
1. received.	ED copies of the	phonty docume	nts have been.						
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)									
3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).									
Attachment(s)		•							
 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	18) 🔀 19) 🔲 20) 🔲		/ (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (F						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-98)

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The substitute declaration stating that this application is a continuation-in -part of application 09/396,141 is approved by the examiner.

Drawings

2. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this

Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "15" has been used to designate both the upper clamshell member and the entire key cap, and reference character "13" has been used to designate both the keyboard's housing and the key shafts in figures 7 and 8. Correction is required.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

IN THE RELATED APPLICATIONS:

Page 1, lines 3 and 4, after "continuation" insert -- -in-part (CIP) --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/500,735 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krupnik (4,806,908).

Krupnik discloses:

A lighted keyboard (Column 1, lines 1-30) having:

a keyboard housing 10 (figure 1);

a plurality of key members **12** positioned within the housing, the key members being constructed at least partially of a substantially translucent material **14** (figure 2) for communicating light rays through the key members; and

a luminescent planar sheet **52** for producing light, the luminescent planar sheet underlying the plurality of key members and being optically connected to the key members so as to permit the transmission of light to the key members;

having a circuit board **57** underlying the plurality of key members, the circuit board being operational to produce signals in response to depression of the key members (Column 4, lines 4-19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2875

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krupnik, and in further view of Brown, LaPointe *et al.*, and DeSmet.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krupnik as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Brown (4,320,268).

Krupnik lacks or does not expressly disclose that the luminescent planar sheet 52 is disposed between the circuit board 57 and the key members 12;

and the luminescent planar sheet **52** is formed with a plurality of holes, the holes underlying the key members **12** for permitting the depression of the key members to cause the key members to project through the holes to impact the circuit board.

Brown discloses in figure 2 that the luminescent planar sheet **30** is disposed between the circuit board **10,12** and the key members **22, 20**; and the luminescent planar sheet **30** is formed with a plurality of holes **31**, the holes underlying the key members **12** for permitting the depression of the key members to cause the key members to project through the holes to impact the circuit board.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the luminescent planar sheet 30 which has a plurality of holes 31 completely through the sheet for each key shaft 20 provided, as disclosed in Brown, below the key members 12 and above the circuit board 57 of Krupnik while utilizing the key shaft 20 of Brown, which transmits light through its base and shaft circumference, to modify the key member of Krupnik in order to

Art Unit: 2875

simplify keyboard construction and provide a keyboard that is relatively thin (Brown, column 1, lines 17-24, and column 4, lines 4-16).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krupnik as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, in view of Brown, and further in view of LaPointe *et al.* (5,797,482).

Krupnik lacks or does not expressly disclose an elastic diaphragm for biasing key members upwardly, the luminescent planar sheet being disposed between the elastic diaphragm and the circuit board.

LaPointe discloses a lighted keyboard 11 in figure 1 that has an elastic diaphragm 17 for biasing the key members 15 upwardly, and the luminescent planar sheet 19 is disposed between the elastic diaphragm and the circuit board 21 (Column 3, lines 57-67, Column 4, lines 1-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the elastic diaphragm as disclosed in LaPointe below the key members 12 of Krupnik, and above the luminescent planar sheet 19 of and circuit board 21 of LaPointe, respectively, while utilizing the key shaft 20 of Brown, which transmits light through its base, to modify the key member of Krupnik in order to simplify keyboard construction, provide a keyboard that is relatively thin (Brown, column 1, lines 17-24, and column 4, lines 4-16), and reduce power consumption (LaPointe *et al*, Column 7, lines 44-54).

Art Unit: 2875

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krupnik as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, in view of DeSmet (4,811,175), and further in view of Brown.

Krupnik lacks or does not expressly disclose a lighted keyboard where the luminescent planar sheet **19** underlies the circuit board **57**.

DeSmet discloses a lighted keyboard **11** (Column 1, lines 5-32) in figure 3 that has light source **23** that underlies the circuit board **13** (Column 2, lines 21-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the luminescent planar sheet **52**, as disclosed in Krupnik, for the light source that underlies the circuit board of DeSmet, while utilizing the key shaft **20** of Brown, which transmits light through its base, to modify the key member of Krupnik in order to simplify keyboard construction, and to provide a low profile keyboard (DeSmet; Column 1, lines 42-45).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David V. Hobden whose telephone number is 703-305-4469. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-8303 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0956.

DVH

October 16, 2000

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800